

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,843                                 | 07/12/2005                | Greg Swords          | . 37370-339252      | 9008             |
| . 23370<br>JOHN S. PRAT                    | 7590 07/03/2007<br>T. ESO |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| KILPATRICK                                 | STOCKTON, LLP             |                      | GANESAN, SUBA       |                  |
| 1100 PEACHTREE STREET<br>ATLANTA, GA 30309 |                           | •                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,  |                           |                      | 3738                |                  |
|  |                           |                      |                     | •                |
|  |                           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  | •                         |                      | 07/03/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/517,843  | SWORDS, GREG   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Suba Ganesan  | 3738   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | wn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.   | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set<br>tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No  ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/04,1/20/06.  S. Patent and Trademark Office  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:  | ate  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/517,843 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: The number of the claim from which claim 13 depends is missing. Examiner is considering claim 13 to be dependent on claim 12. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 14-17, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tilghman et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,139,497).
- 4. Tilghman discloses an orbital implant comprising titanium coated with polyethylene (col. 3 lines 50-67 and col. 4 lines 45-51). This coating provides a smooth barrier surface. The implant includes means to attach to bone including openings to engage the head of a scew or bone anchor (see abstract). Tilghman further discloses being able to bed and cut the implant to conform to the shape of a defect (col. 3 lines 16-24).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3738

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 5-6, 8-9, 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tilghman et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,139,497) in view of Hayes et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6031148).
- 7. Tilghman is explained supra. However, Tilghman appears not to disclose the use of a porous side. Hayes teaches the use of a porous implant that allows tissue ingrowth but also includes a tissue growth barrier (see fig. 3 and abstract). The implant includes means to attach to bone including openings to engage the head of a scew or bone anchor (see abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to combine a porous implant with a barrier as taught by Myers to the implant of Tilghman for the purpose of allowing helpful tissue growth while preventing competing tissue growth (Myers patent, col. 1 lines 15-44). The motivation to combine being: providing a support for bone growth and healing to establish a functional implant.
- 8. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tilghman et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,139,497) in view of Melican (U.S. P.G. Pub. No.: 2002/0120348).

Tilghman is explained supra. However, Tilghman does not disclose the use of a mold in the manufacture of the implant. Melican et al teaches the use of a mold to coat a mesh (para 52 and 53). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Tilghman with the mold as taught by Iseki

Art Unit: 3738

in order to better secure the plastic to the mesh. The motivation to combine being: ease of manufacturing with a known method of joining two components.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suba Ganesan whose telephone number is 571-272-3243. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SDG/ 6/21/2007

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700